US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

DP BARCODE: D200895

CASE: 015420 DATA PACKAGE RECORD SUBMISSION: S460079 BEAN SHEET DATE: 11/16/94 BEAN SHEET Page 1 of 1

\* \* \* CASE/SUBMISSION INFORMATION \* \* \*

CASE TYPE: REGISTRATION ACTION: 405 6(A)(2) ADVERSE DATA

RANKING : 35 POINTS (B)

CHEMICALS: 059101 Chlorpyrifos (ANSI) 42.8000%

ID#: 062719-00047 DOW DURSBAN TC TERMITICIDE CONCENTRATE

COMPANY: 062719 DOWELANCO

PRODUCT MANAGER: 19 DENNIS EDWARDS, JR. 703-305-6386 ROOM: CM2 PM TEAM REVIEWER: CARL ANDREASEN 703-305-5417 ROOM: CM2 207 201

RECEIVED DATE: 02/28/94 DUE OUT DATE: 05/09/94

\* \* \* DATA PACKAGE INFORMATION \* \* \*

DP BARCODE: 200895 EXPEDITE: Y DATE SENT: 03/23/94 DATE RET.: /

CHEMICAL: 059101 Chlorpyrifos (ANSI)

DP TYPE: 001 Submission Related Data Package

CSF: N LABEL: N ASSIGNED TO DATE IN DATE OUT ADMIN DUE DATE: 04/13/94
DIV: EFED 03/24/94 // NEGOT DATE: 04/13/94
BRAN: EFGB 03/25/94 |/ / // PROJ DATE: 04/13/94
SECT: GTS 03/25/94 11/15/95
REVR: JJORDAN 03/25/94 11/15/95

CONTR: /. / . / /

\* \* \* DATA REVIEW INSTRUCTIONS \* \* \*

ATTENTION: BETSY BEHL. ["Please expedite with a 3 week due date./Thanks/Liz"] Please review.

\* \* \* DATA PACKAGE EVALUATION \* \* \*

\* \* \* ADDITIONAL DATA PACKAGES FOR THIS SUBMISSION \* \* \*

BRANCH/SECTION DATE OUT DUE BACK DP BC INS CSF LABEL Chemical Code: 059101

DP Barcode: D200420, D204387, D200895

To:

Dennis Edwards, PM #19

Registration Division (7505C)

From: Elizabeth Behl, Section Head

**Ground Water Technology Section** 

Environmental Fate & Ground Water Branch/EFED (7507C)

Thru:

Henry Jacoby, Chief

Environmental Fate & Ground Water Branch/EFED (7507C)

Attached, please find the EFGWB review of...

Common Name:&	Chlorpyrifos	Trade name:	Dursbsan TC
Company Name:	DowElanco		*
ID#:	062719-00047		
Puipose:	6(a)2 action - reports of chlorpyrifos de from Jan. 1, 1992 - December 31, 199	etections in v	wells, ponds and cisterns from

Type Product:	Action Code:	EFGWB #(s):	Review Time:
Insecticide	405		3 days

# STATUS OF STUDIES IN THIS PACKAGE:

Guideline #	MRID	Status <sup>1</sup>
none		N
		1
,		

# STATUS OF DATA REQUIREMENTS ADDRESSED IN THIS PACKAGE

Guideline #	Status <sup>2</sup>
	N
•	

<sup>1</sup>Study Status Codes: A=Acceptable U=Upgradeable C=Ancillary I=Invalid.

<sup>2</sup>Data Requirement Status Codes: S=Satisfied P=Partially satisfied N=Not satisfied R=Reserved W=Waived.



1. CHEMICAL:

Chemical name: 0,0-diethyl 0-(3,5,6-trichloro-2pyridinyl)

phosphorothioate

Common name: chlorpyrifos

Trade name: Dursban TC, Equity Termiticide Concentrate

Structure:

2. TEST MATERIAL; not applicable

3. <u>STUDY/ACTION TYPE:</u> 6(a)2 action - Reports of chlorpyrifos detections in wells, ponds and cisterns during January, 1992 through March, 1993, and July, 1993 through March, 1994.

4. STUDY IDENTIFICATION:

DP Barcodes: D200420, D200895, D204387. Case: 015420, 015420, 015420 Submission: S460366, S460079, S466308

Date sent to EFGWB: 03/21/94

5. REVIEWED BY:

John Jordan, Ph.D. Microbiologist OPPTS/EFED/EFGWB/GW Technology Section Signature: John Jordan

Date: 11/16/94

6. APPROVED BY:

Elizabeth Behl, Head OPPTS/EFED/EFGWB/GW Technology Section Signature:\_

Date: 11/16/94

7. CONCLUSIONS:

Treatment of some structures with Dursban TC and Equity Termiticide Concentrate resulted in contamination of wells ponds, and cisterns. Three registrant 6(a)2 submissions, D200420, 200895 and 204386, reported detections from July 1, 1993 through March 31, 1994. Residue concentrations ranged from 30 to 2,090 ppb at 37 sites in at least 15 states. Residues above the adult 70-kg HAL of 20 ppb may result in unreasonable adverse effects.

Also, a previous submission, D195492, reported similar detections from January, 1992 through March, 1993. There has been a high frequency of chlorpyrifos detections in wells, and also a few ponds and cisterns following treatment of structures for termites.

# 8. RECOMMENDATIONS:

- (A) Ground-water contamination resulting from use of chlorpyrifos as a termiticide makes it necessary for the registrant to propose mitigating label changes to prevent water contamination in treated areas. Either the use pattern and/or the application rate must be revised in order to prevent contamination in treated areas.
- (B) Dow-Elanco's training programs for pest control operators (PCOs) should be intensified to prevent contamination of wells, cisterns, and ponds. The training should identify high risk areas and address methods of prevention, follow-up, monitoring and remediation.

For example, pest control companies that employ PCOs who repeatedly treat structures with termiticides that contaminate wells, cisterns, and ponds, must have compliance monitoring administered by the State Lead Agencies. Appropriate action must be taken to eliminate contamination of wells, cisterns and ponds resulting from termite treatment to structures.

- (C) Follow-up monitoring in high risk areas should be mandatory because of high levels of exposure (as high as 70 times the 1-day child health advisory levels).
- (D) To meet requirements of the 6(a)2 program, the attached Ground-Water Incident Identification Form must be completed. The forms must contain the specific information requested.

Information requested in the attached "Environmental Fate Data Extraction Sheet Ground-Water Incident Study Identification Form" is required by the Agency. Reporting of contaminated wells, cisterns, ponds and ground water without identification and documentation of their specific locations, does not fulfill the purpose of the 6(a)2 program.

### 9. BACKGROUND:

(D200420)

DowElanco received the following reports of suspected well contaminations following application of Dursban TC and Equity TC: Twenty-two suspected well contamination incidents were reported to DowElanco from July 1, through September 30, 1993. Twelve of the 22 wells had no detectable levels of chlorpyrifos, 7 wells wells contained less parent than the 10 day HAL for children (30 ppb). Three wells contained chlorpyrifos levels > lifetime HAL of 20 ppb (96 - 743 ppb).

#### (D200895)

Ten suspect wells were reported to DowElanco from October 1,1993 through December 31, 1993. Five of the 10 wells had no detectable level of parent. One of the wells contained <10 day HAL for children (30 ppb). Three wells and one pond contained parent (103 to 2090 ppb) above the MCL of 20 ppb.

#### (D204386)

During the period from January 1 to March 31, 1994, 17 suspected well/pond contamination incidents were reported to DowElanco. Eleven of the wells/ponds tested had no detectable levels of chlorpyrifos. Four of the wells/ponds tested were found to contain chlorpyrifos residue less than the 10 day HAL for children (30 ppb). Two wells and one pond contained levels of chlorpyrifos above the HAL (34, 38 and 982 ppb, respectively)

DowElanco does not monitor wells, ponds or cisterns after treatment with termiticides. After termiticide treatment some landowners report their wells as "suspect". DowElanco will sample and analyze the water to confirm or reject the landowner's concerns. DowElanco analyzes water samples from every well, cistern, or pond classified as "suspect". Some wells classified as "suspect" are free from contamination. The contaminated wells are reported to EPA and remediated by Dow.

# 10.DISCUSSION:

The data in this action were submitted under Section 6(a)2 of FIFRA. The report of detections contained levels of chlorpyrifos above the 1-day child HAL (30 ppb), the long term adult HAL (100 ppb) and the lifetime adult HAL (20 ppb) which may result in unreasonable adverse effects.

The detections resulted from the treatment of structures for termites. Using the remediation procedure, outlined in the paragraph below, clean-up of the wells continued until chlorpyrifos residues were non-detectable. According to the registrant the remediation process can take from 7 to 160 days.

The remediation procedure consists of superchlorination (with sodium or calcium hypochlorite), purging of the wells, and installation of charcoal filters. The remediation system uses an in-line charcoal filter that adsorbs chlorpyrifos from water to <1 ppb at flow rates as high as 6 gpm. It is DowElanco's policy to initiate remedial measures on all suspect wells. The clean-up and analytical service is free of charge if a well is suspected of being contaminated.

The initial concentrations of chlorpyrifos residues ranged from 30 to 2,090 ppb. The olfactory (odor) threshold of chlorpyrifos is 10 ppb.

DowElanco claims that its current product stewardship program is adequate to protect water supplies. The focus is on prevention and training and provision of remediation assistance; when this is not effective, contamination may occur. DowElanco stated:

"We wish to point out that the chlorpyrifos olfactory threshold of 10 ppb is significantly below the HA level for chlorpyrifos making it very likely that even low levels of contamination will be detected immediately". Table 1, page 5, indicates that dependence on the olfactory threshold as an early warning has been ineffective in the large number of incidents reported to the Agency.

Table 1 CHLORPYRIFOS DETECTIONS > HAL

Location	Date	Initial	Residue	Concent	ration	in W	ells	(ppb)
	1992							
KY	TT .		x"	916				
KY	11			35				
KY	ii .		No.	110		_		
KY	ņ			33	. '			
KY	u .	* .		112				
KY	ff .			174				
MO	tt .			77				
MO	1.			32				
AL	11	•		67				
TN	п			99				
	11			355				
TN	11			95				7.
TN	•							
TN	#			342				
SC	11			81				
NY	91			35	2			
OH	11			54 37	an and an			4.5
NC	II .			37				
IL	1)			35				
VA	tt .	•		221	1.4		1.	
MD	11;			218		*		
IN	"			30	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
7-14	PONI	าร						
	1 0111	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			ş ·			
KY	11	•		362				÷
	11			154	1. A			. 5
KY				265				
WV	"		•			v		
PA	11			176				
LA	ti .			37		,		
AL	11	,		56		•		
VA	11			56				
1/:	1/93 - 3/	31/93			•			
Unknown	CIST	ERN		309				
1)	WELL			101				
7,	/1/93 - 9	/30/93						
	WELL	S		<b>5</b> 40				
Ħ				743	•			
TT .				96				
tt .				96				
	7/93 -	3/94		e de				
	WELL		2	,090				
	POND			982				
	11			142				
n	WELLS			131				•
11	11			103				
and the second s	. 11			38		•		
11				34				
Н	, 11			⊃ <i>+</i> ±				